Previous references.

1911.
(Courts)

File No. 193-08

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later references.
Ct 128-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-3

Part B. for November 1911.

SUBJECT.

Establishment of a small cause Court at Bangalore.

From the Registrar, C. Court - No: 3461/178 of 8.12.08
1) solicits the consideration of Govt in the matter in question -

To
2) Do — No: 4/1726 of 15.12.08
calls for some information

From Do - No: 4395/235 of 23.1.09
3) Forwards the information required in the above.

G. R. S. 58

Cronin

Previous references.

1911.
(Courts)

File No. 193-08

Serial Nos. 1-3

Later references.
Cb 128-11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-3

Part B. for November 1911.

SUBJECT.

Establishment of a small cause Court at Bangalore.

From the Registrar, C. Court - No: 3461/178 Dy 1.12.08
1) solicits the consideration of Govt in the matter in question.

To Do — No: 4/1726 & 15.12.08
2) calls for some information

From Do - No: 4349/235 Dy 2.3.1.09
3) Forwards the information required in the above.

G. R. S. 58

Cronin

G.R.S. 11]

1908 9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Courts.)

NOTES.

Cts. File No. 193-08

FILE HEADING.

Establishment of a Small Cause Court at Bangalore.

From

The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

No. 3441/178. Dated 1-12-08 Received 3-12-08

Diary No. 9/1401 | Enclos. nil Spare Copies | Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

States that the advantages of having a whole time small cause Court Judge at Bangalore have again been impressed upon the Chief Court and that the Judges suggest the consideration of the proposal apart from the revision of the Small Cause Court Act.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

1 In February 1902, the Chief Court suggested the conversion of the Principal Munsiffs' Court at Bangalore into a Court of Small Causes with jurisdiction upto Rs 300, and the addition of the Bangalore Town and Taluk

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000 —3-4-07

Serial No.

H Z

over which the said Munsiff exercised jurisdiction, to the jurisdiction of the Additional Munsiff of Bangalore who was also proposed to be designated as Munsiff of Bangalore. Owing to this addition, it was expected that the file of the latter, would range from 800 to 1000 suits. In reply the Chief Court was informed that the suggestion would be considered in connection with draft Small Cause Courts Regulation which has been under consideration. The Judges now suggest the consideration of the question altogether apart from the revision of the Small Cause Court Act.

It may perhaps be noted in this connection, that the Taluk of Nelamangala has since been added to the jurisdiction of the Principal Munsiff of Bangalore.

Submitted for orders.

H Munsiff = Bangalore + Nelamangala Taluks
H Munsiff = Hoskote, Bagepalli, Kanakapura, Channapatna + Closepet & Kolar Taluks

Hes SW 5/12/08
5.12.08.

Please put up dr pb re Draft Small Cause Court Regn

PK 9/12

Regn 15/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 153 of 1908-09.

Serial No.	
	The file re Draft Small Cause Court Regulation was submitted to Secretary some time ago. Subd with a spare copy of the Draft Regulation and a copy of the Compilation of selected papers relating thereto. MB SW 10/12/08 Please call for a statement of original suits Small cause like the one sent last time & also request information as to ~~whether~~ how the proposal would affect the work of the other courts CS 14/12 SW 11/12/08
1	Submitted with a draft. MB SW 14.12.08 Issue SW
2	To the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore No 1726 & 19.12.1908. Calls for a statement as above and also for information as to how the proposal would affect the work of the other Courts.
3	From the same officer No 4399/235 & 23.1.09. Forwards a statement as called for and states that, for reasons stated, the proposal must remain in abeyance

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

Serial No.

So long as Act XI of 1865 is in force, and urges the importance of passing a new Act on the lines of the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act of 1887.

Submit for orders.

Accs 29.1.09. SW/Upper

The Chief Court recommended the formation of a whole time Small Cause Court in Bangalore. Information was called for as to how the change would affect the work of the existing Civil Courts in the city. In forwarding the said information the Chief Court remark that the proposal must be kept in abeyance so long as the Mofussil Small Cause Courts Act of 1865 is the law in this State. They therefore impress the necessity of passing a new Small Cause Courts Regulation, the draft of which is already ready.

29.1.09. SH

Secretary).

A draft Small Cause Courts Bill has been ready these many years & may, if approved, be introduced at the next meeting of the Legislature Council.

Above to 1st 30.1.09 SH

30.1.09

Letters be brought to me on Monday SH. 30.1.09.

GPB—50.000—13-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

CS File No. 193 of 1908

Serial No.

~~Pl Council~~

1/2.09. 3C

4.

4689
4/2/09

I think the subject of Legislation to improve the Small Cause Court Regulation now in force may be taken up separately from the ~~Practice~~ proposal to convert one of the two Munsiffs Courts into a whole time Small Cause Court with jurisdiction upto Rs 300, on the understanding that this measure without entailing extra cost on Govt will be conducive to expeditious disposal of Civil work. Please put up also a draft Order sanctioning the latter proposal Attn 8 Feb 09

CS

PJC

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

Memo

Ct File No. 198 of 190 8-9

6

Serial No.

Submitted to 7th Councillor as per personal orders.

The Establishment of a Small Cause Court, before the introduction of a Small Cause Courts Regulation is impracticable for the following reasons:—

(1) In the first place there is no provision in the Mysore Civil Courts Regulation to Establish a "Small Cause Court". Govt can only Establish a District Court. A Subordinate judge's court and a Munsiff's Court. Government can however invest any of the above judges or "other Officer Specially Selected" with the jurisdiction of a Court of Small Causes (Sec 16) The words under quotation seem to show that an already existing officer rather than a new officer is intended.

(2) Again, the proposal is to make one of the Munsiffs purely a Small Cause judge. This is impracticable but then a Munsiff can not be invested with Small Cause—

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

powers beyond Rs 50/- (Sec 16) whereas the proposal is to have his jurisdiction up to Rs 300/-. Accordingly. To convert one of the Munsiffs Courts into a Subordinate's Court means additional Expenditure, which is not contemplated

Further the Existing Small Cause law and procedure is very Crude and cumbrous and it would be more appropriate if the new Court begins work with the new laws.

11.2.09. Sd

689/17
16/2/09

Please see paras 4 & 5 of the Chief Court's letter No 6016 dt 17 Feb 09, and unofficially enquire of the Registrar whether it is the desire that the proposals in these paragraphs should be taken up simultaneously, or one after the other & in that case which first & which next - And that steps are being taken to push the new Small Cause Courts Act through the Legislative Council expeditiously - Sd Illegible

Put up a draft D.O.

17.2.09 Sd

Draft D.O. Subd. The D.O may issue

Note—Contn sheet.

CTD 2-50 000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12j
General and Revenue Secretariat.

C/ File No. 153 of 1908-09 8

Serial No.

if approved but attention is respectfully invited to the paper under disposal (letter from the Chief Court dated 23rd Jan. 09) which seems to show that the Judges want the Amendment of the Law prior to the Establishment of the Court.

In any case the Chief Court may be informed that the Regulation will be passed soon

17.2.09. Sd

1st Councillor).

9862 17-2-09

Draft D.O. approved (with a slight alteration) for the 17th Inst.

Issue

17.2.09. Sd.

D.O. Issued. No. J 2425 & 17.2.1909.

P. J. C.

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

G.S. File No. 193 of 1908-09.

Serial No.

J 2135

Bangalore
22. 2. 9.

Dear Mr. Hignavar,

Yours of the 17th:-

I am desired to state in reply that under the law as it exists at present it would apparently not be possible to invest a Dist with S. C. Ct jurisdiction up to Rs 300-

Yours Sincerely
Dy Secretary

Subd for orders
HCS
22.2.09.
KG
22/2/09

Submitted to H'ble Councillor.
22.2.09.

9935
23.2.1909

Seen - It will be necessary to proceed on with the preparation of the proposed Sm C Legislation

24.2.09.

12053
24.2.09

Residency has been addressed in regard to the proposed legislation
27/2/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50.000—18-5-08

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
Secretariat.

(1) File No. 113 of 1908-09. 10

Serial No.	
	It is desirous that steps might be taken to introduce the bill at the next meeting. (Leave to introduce need not be asked for, but the bill maybe first published in the Gazette) Secretary. 30. 1-3-09
	Assistant Bill Please take steps early Publish the bill in the Gazette, as directed by the Dewan (Rule 32). 2nd March Secretary 883 2/3/09

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13.5.08

11

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

I Subd with a draft to the Compiler Inspire Gazette, requesting him to publish the Small Cause Courts Bill in Part III of the Gazette.

MOS 2/9/09. I think this can issue, for publication in the next Gazette. (It must) 2/9/09. 2nd March. SH

Secretary. 3 Yes AB 2/9/09. Attorney Gazette SH 3/9/09

4 Spares copies of the Small Cause Courts Bill have been received from the Press and a copy of it has to be sent to each member of the Legislative Council under Rule 92 of the Rules.

Submitted with a draft circular. SH

MOS 10-8-09 B.P. 10-3-09

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

14/8/09

i. Flag V.

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

f2454 12

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

6 Draft issued to the members of the Mysore League Council with No 247-59/Lo to d 12th March 09.

D.O no 1783 d 20th March 09. from the F.A to the Resident stating that the Resident sees no prima facie objection to the Mysore Small Causes Courts Bill being placed before the League Council.

Submitted for information MAS to 1st Councillor 26.8.09

10328 29/3/09.

7 Ven - Go ahead - I presume that the proposed legislation will not entail additional Costs for us!

30/3/09

8 Not - unless a separate Court of Small Causes is established.

in addn to existing Courts

9 To Legal Asst to act for Mysore

31/3/09

31/3/09

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

Submit this again to me tomorrow

31/3/09

GPB—45,000—19-5-08

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Note

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not

Dharwar, 13

I am desired by Mr Legislative Secretary to send this file on duty for submission to the Dewan, for perusal of Mr Secretary's note and his remarks made on the subject as first Councillor

1/4/09. James McCosh
D.K. Somasundaram

2. To Govinda Rao

Mr Govinda Rao has returned this today that the may be submitted to Dewan, if necessary, thro' the Under Secretary on duty with the 2nd & further orders

4/4 This file contains the answer of the Secretary to the query of the Dewan.

12 Send the file to the Under-Secretary on duty with the Dewan for submission to the Dewan.

7/4.

Subd to Under Secretary on duty with Mr Dewan.

2 The Secretary

Govt. Central Press, Chamarajpet.

The bill will be taken up at the next meeting of the Legislative Council. Submitted for information

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—45,000—10-5-08.

G.R.S. 13]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

My Gen with Remarks in two places this Bill & put it through

15

Action on this please
Send back the papers to me.

Will do. Send the papers

The Bill was considered and passed as Republished No 97 of 1911 Vide Leg & Assembly Proceedings

16

Action and submitted for further orders as directed above.

17 Please send this to me after my return from Mysore

29/5.

Yes. Has the recommendation

B

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

GPB—30,000—11-4-06.

Bangalore.
1st December 1908.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar Esq.,
Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

K.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esq.,
Secretary to Government,
Genl: & Rev: Departments.

Sir,

I am directed to invite your attention to the cor-respondence ending with your letter no.14018/J1183 dated the 24th February 1902, in re the establishment of a Small Cause Court at Bangalore, and to state that the advantages of having a whole time Small Cause Court Judge at Bangalore have again been impressed upon the Chief Court. The Judges venture to submit that the proposal (which will involve no extra expenditure) may be considered altogether apart from the revision of the Small Cause Court Act.

I have the honor to be,
sir,
your most obedient servant

REGISTRAR.

A.S.

G.R.S. 26]

6

C/o File No. 193. 08

Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to

No J 1726 07
19-12-08

General and Revenue Secretariat
( )
, *the* 190

To The Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore

Date of issue 19-12-08

Signed by Mr S Srinivasaiah

Has the Hon'ble 3441/178 dated the 18th December 1908, regarding the establishment of a whole time Small Cause Court Judge at Bangalore

Adverting to the above has the honor to request that he will be so good as to forward to this office a statement showing the number

over.

SUBJECT.

3

of original suits and
instituted in
Small Causes Courts disposed of
by the Courts of the Subordinate
the Principal Munsiff
and the additional
Munsiff at Bangalore for
during the last
ten years and also to
furnish information
as to how the proposal
referred to in the letter
under reference would
affect the work of the
other Courts.

By order

for Secretary.

List of enclosures

SN
14/12

Dft to 14/12/06

Copied by

Examined by 17/12

GPB—10,000—20-4-06.

III/27/08 Ch 27/1/09 4399

No. 235-

4 J 1900

Bangalore,

Dated 23rd January 1909.

From,

V.A.Rajaratna Mudaliar,Esq.,

Registrar,Chief Court of Mysore.

To,

K.S.Chandrasekhara Aiyar,Esq.,

Secretary to Government,

Genl: & Rev: Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to your No.J.1726/Cts.193-08-2 dated the 19th December 1908,I am directed to forward a Statement showing the number of Original and Small Cause suits instituted and disposed of in the Courts of the Subordinate Judge,the Principal Munsiff and the Additional Munsiff at Bangalore for the past ten years,and to state that a whole time Small Cause Court Judge could dispose of all Small Cause cases appertaining to the Bangalore District and not exceeding Rs.300 in value. But unfortunately under the law at present in force it is not possible to appoint a Small Cause Court Judge with jurisdiction limited to Rs. 300 and therefore so long as Act XI of 1865 is in force the proposal must remain in abeyance. The Judges of the Chief Court desire to point out that the interpretation of Act XI of 1865 constantly gives rise to difficulties and they would again urge upon the Government the importance of passing a new Act on the lines of the Provincial Small Cause Courts Act of 1887.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient servant;

A.S.

REGISTRAR.

Statement showing the number of original suits and small causes instituted in and disposed of by the Sub-Judge, the Principal and Additional Munsiffs, Bangalore.

Years	Sub Judge's Court				Principal Munsiff's Court				Additional Munsiff's Court.				Remarks.
	Number of suits instituted		Number of suits disposed of		Number of suits instituted		Number of suits disposed of		Number of suits instituted		Number of suits disposed of		
	Original	Small causes	Original	Small causes	Original	Small causes	Original	Small causes	Original	Small causes	Original	Small causes	
1898-99	65	535	119	550	582	1005	719	1288	351	348	556	489	
1899-1900	48	874	50	855	913	1358	885	1304	445	565	453	526	
1900-1901	40	883	32	800	881	1539	955	1458	645	546	592	618	
1901-1902	51	656	40	764	763	1014	745	1352	639	440	550	440	
1902-1903	34	681	53	641	586	767	623	832	462	415	469	394	
1903-1904	36	907	41	884	401	780	447	756	433	494	506	474	
1904-1905	20	860	31	944	344	777	391	784	458	538	546	480	
1905-1906	34	791	20	714	370	815	482	786	500	468	485	458	
1906-1907	33	829	22	781	357	839	391	896	432	363	462	409	
1907-1908	71	994	34	950	480	962	470	888	467	387	541	382	

Chief Court of Mysore }
Bangalore 23d January 1909 }

Registrar.

G.R.S. 26]

6

C.S. File No. 193-08

Serial No. ~~4~~

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

D.O. No. 92425

Dt 17.2.09

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, the 190 .

~~To~~

Dear Sir,

Date of issue _____

Signed by _____

With reference to your paras 4 and 5 of your letter No 6016/16 dated the 17th February 1902, regarding the establishment of a Small Cause Court at Bangalore, I am desired to enquire whether it is the desire of the Chief Court that the ~~proposals in those paragraphs~~ should be ~~taken up simultaneously or one after the other~~ and in ~~the latter case~~ in ~~what order~~ → I am to add that steps are being taken to have the new Small

over.

(as at present constituted)

Proposal contained in paragraph 4 should be sanctioned pure & and apart from that contained in paragraph 5 ~

SUBJECT.

Copied Dft. 17/2. Compd 17/2

Cause Courts Regulation passed
through the legislative
Councils expeditiously as possible.

The favour of an early
reply is requested.

Yours sincerely

Sd

Sd
17/2/09

To V.A. Rajaratna Mudr Esqr.

(97
4 62
17-2-09.
10
7/-

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPL—20,000-19-12—04.

8

REGULATION No. VIII OF 1911.

(Received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja on the 19th day of June 1911.)

A Regulation to consolidate and amend the law relating to Courts of Small Causes in Mysore.

WHEREAS it is expedient to consolidate and amend the law relating to Courts of Small Causes in Mysore; His Highness the Maharaja is pleased to enact as follows:—

Title, extent and commencement.

1. (1) This Regulation may be called "The Mysore Small Cause Courts Regulation, 1911."

(2) It extends to the whole of Mysore.

(3) It shall come into force on the 1st day of July 1911.

But the provisions of Section 8 shall come into force only in such Courts and from such dates as may be notified by Government in the official Gazette.

Repeal of Act XI of 1865.

2. (*a*) From and after that date, Act XI of 1865 as applied to Mysore by the Notification of the Government of India in the Foreign Department, No. 31—I. J., dated the 28th January 1880, shall be repealed.

Amendment of the Mysore Civil Courts Regulation.

(*b*) The enactment referred to in Schedule II is amended to the extent specified therein.

Construction of references to Act XI of 1865.

(*c*) Any enactment or document referring to Act XI of 1865 shall, so far as may be, be construed to refer to this Regulation or to the corresponding portion thereof.

Savings.

3. Nothing in this Regulation shall be construed to affect—

(*a*) any proceedings before or after decree in any suit instituted before the commencement of this Regulation; or

(*b*) any local or any special law other than the Code of Civil Procedure.

Cognizance of suits by Courts of Small Causes.

4. All suits of the classes specified in Schedule I in which no relief is claimed in respect of immovable property and of which the value does not exceed such sum not exceeding Rs. 500 as may be fixed in each case by the Government in this behalf, shall be cognizable by a Court of Small Causes; and no other suits shall be so cognizable. Provided that the Government may at any time add to or vary the schedule by a notification published in the official Gazette.

Exclusive jurisdiction of Courts of Small Causes.

5. Save as expressly provided by this Regulation or by any other enactment for the time being in force, a suit cognizable by a Court of Small Causes shall not be tried by any other Court having jurisdiction within the local limits of the jurisdiction of the Court of Small Causes by which the suit is triable.

Return of plaints in suits involving questions of title to immovable property.

6. (1) Notwithstanding anything in the foregoing portion of this Regulation, when the right of a plaintiff and the relief claimed by him in a Court of Small Causes depend upon the proof or disproof of a title to immovable property, the Court may, at any stage of the proceedings, return the plaint to be presented to a Court having jurisdiction to determine the title.

(2) When a Court returns a plaint under sub-section (1), it shall comply with the provisions of the Code of Civil Procedure regarding the return of plaints and make such order with respect to costs as it deems just, and the Court shall, for the purposes of the Mysore Limitation Regulation, be deemed to have been unable to entertain the suit by reason of a cause of a nature like to that of defect of jurisdiction.

Non-suiting in certain cases.

7. In every case in which satisfactory proof shall not be given entitling either the plaintiff or the defendant to judgment, a Court of Small Causes shall have power to non-suit the plaintiff, subject to such orders as to costs as the Court may in each case think fit: provided that a plaintiff who has been non-suited under this section may, subject to the law of limitation, bring a fresh suit on the same cause of action.

Trial by composite Court.

8. In all suits where the amount claimed shall exceed fifty rupees, it shall be lawful for the plaintiff or the defendant to require the suit to be tried by a composite Court, on his depositing such costs and furnishing such security and giving such notice as may be determined by the Court, in accordance with rules to be framed in this behalf by the Chief Court.

Where such an application has been made and allowed, the case shall be heard by a Court composed of the Judge before whom the suit has been instituted and three jurors to be selected in accordance with rules to be framed in this behalf by the Chief Court.

The Judge shall settle what are the questions of law and what the questions of fact arising in the case, and he shall have the sole power to decide the questions of law. He shall preside at the trial and shall have a casting vote whenever the members are equally divided in opinion, and judgment shall be delivered in accordance with the opinion of the majority of the members of the composite Court on all questions of fact.

The rules to be framed by the Chief Court under this section shall be subject to the previous sanction of Government.

Appeals from certain orders of Courts of Small Causes.

9. Where an order specified in Section 104, clause (1) (*h*), of the Code of Civil Procedure, is made by a Court of Small Causes, an appeal therefrom shall lie to the District Court.

Revision of decrees and orders of Courts of Small Causes.

10. The Chief Court, for the purpose of satisfying itself as to the correctness, legality or propriety of a decree or order made in any case decided by a Court of Small Causes, may call for the case and pass such order with respect thereto as it thinks fit.

Finality of decrees and orders.

11. Save as provided by this Regulation, a decree or order made under the foregoing provisions of this Regulation by a Court of Small Causes shall be final.

Application of Regulation and Code to Court invested with jurisdiction of a Court of Small Causes as to two Courts.

12. A Court invested with the jurisdiction of a Court of Small Causes, with respect to the exercise of that jurisdiction, and the same Court with respect to the exercise of its jurisdiction in suits of a civil nature which are not cognizable by a Court of Small Causes, shall, for the purposes of this Regulation and the Code of Civil Procedure, be deemed to be different Courts.

Provided that when, in exercise of the jurisdiction of a Court of Small Causes, a Court invested with that jurisdiction sends a decree for execution to itself as a Court having jurisdiction in suits of a civil nature which are not cognizable by a Court of Small Causes, it shall not be necessary, unless the Court otherwise directs, to send the documents prescribed by the Code of Civil Procedure in the case of transfer of decrees for execution from one Court to another.

Continuance of proceedings of abolished Courts.

13. (1) Where a Court of Small Causes or a Court invested with the jurisdiction of a Court of Small Causes has from any cause ceased to have jurisdiction with respect to any case, any proceeding in relation to the case, whether before or after decree, which, if the Court had not ceased to have jurisdiction, might have been had therein, may be had in the Court which, if the suit out of which the proceeding has arisen were about to be instituted, would have jurisdiction to try the suit.

(2) Nothing in this section applies to cases for which special provision is made in the Code of Civil Procedure, as extended to Courts of Small Causes, or in any other enactment for the time being in force.

SCHEDULE I.

SUITS COGNIZABLE BY COURTS OF SMALL CAUSES.

(See section 4.)

(1) A suit for money lent;
(2) A suit for money by or against a surety;
(3) A suit for the price of goods sold;
(4) A suit for money on a contract for work and labour;
(5) A suit for money on a contract of hiring and service;
(6) A suit for rent when there is an express agreement to pay;
(7) A suit for payment for board or lodging;
(8) A suit for money received by defendant for plaintiff's use;
(9) A suit for money paid to defendant by mistake or money overpaid;
(10) A suit for money paid or goods delivered at defendant's request;
(11) A suit for damages for breach of a contract of sale of goods;
(12) A suit for money deposited;
(13) A suit for recovery of specific movable property pledged or deposited with the defendant, or its value.

12

SCHEDULE II.

AMENDMENT OF THE MYSORE CIVIL COURTS REGULATION, I OF 1883.

Section 3.—The word "four" shall be substituted for the word "three" and the words "4thly, the Court of Small Causes" shall be inserted after the words "3rdly, the Court of the Munsiff."

Section 4 (*a*).—The words "and of the Courts of Small Causes" shall be inserted after the word "Munsiffs."

Section 5—The words "or of a Court of Small Causes" shall be inserted after the word "Munsiff."

Section 10A.—After Section 10, the following new section shall be added, namely:—

"10A. The jurisdiction of a Court of Small Causes shall be regulated in accordance with the law relating to such Courts for the time being in force in Mysore."

Section 19.—The conjunction "or" occurring after the words "Subordinate Judge" shall be omitted and the words "or a Judge of a Court of Small Causes" shall be inserted after the word "Munsiff."

Section 21.—The word "and" occurring before the word "Munsiffs" shall be omitted and the words "and Judges of Courts of Small Causes" shall be inserted after the said word.

T. ANANDA ROW,
Dewan.